

Scenario of superconducting transition for quasi-2D HTS

G.Sergeeva, NSC KIPT, Akademicheskaya 1, Kharkov 61108, Ukraine,

Abstract

For quasi-two-dimension HTS with spin-fluctuation pairing mechanism the scenario of superconducting transition is discussed. The interaction of fluctuational spin waves with holes in CuO_2 planes in the mean field theory leads to the pairing of holes and to the fluctuation generation of superconducting regions at T_{c0} (where T_{c0} is the temperature of two dimension superconducting transition) and also to essential temperature dependence of the strength of interlayer coupling $t_c(T)$. At sufficiently small values of $t_c(T)$, the transition of the sample to the coherent superconducting state occurs at $T_c < T_{c0}$.

1. Layer compounds with weak interaction between the copper-oxygen planes and with anisotropic resistivity (for example, $\rho_c/\rho_{ab} \sim 10^5$ for Bi2212 and $\rho_c/\rho_{ab} \sim 10^3$ for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.7}$ and $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$) belong to quasi-2D superconductors. The dependence of the resistivity $\rho_c(T)$ for such HTS's near the transition temperature $T > T_c$ has the semiconducting character that is evidenced about noncoherent charge transfer along axis $\hat{c}$. It's need to note that three dimension anisotropic superconductors with coherent dynamics of charge in normal state becomes quasi-2D ones under magnetic field $B > B_{cr}$ which is parallel to axis $\hat{c}$ (here B_{cr} is the dimensional crossover field) or in the case if the HTS is underdoped sample. In this paper following scenario of superconducting transition is discussed for quasi-2D HTS with spin-fluctuation pairing mechanism described by the generalized BCS theory [1-3]:

1) in normal state the fluctuational spin excitations exist in CuO_2 planes in the regions with measures which are confines by the correlation length of the antiferromagnetic (AFM) fluctuations;

2) the interaction of holes with fluctuational spin excitations leads to the fluctuational generation in CuO_2 planes of superconducting regions at the temperature $T < T_{c0}$ where T_{c0} is 2D superconducting transition in the mean field theory T_{c0} ;

3) the difference of the temperature dependencies of coherent lengths $\xi_{ab}(T)$ in the CuO_2 planes and out-of-plane $\xi_c(T)$ leads to anomal increase of $\rho_c(T)$ at the decreasing the temperature $T < T_{c0}$ and to essential temperature dependence of the strength of interlayer coupling $t_c(T)$ [4-6];

4) the transition to superconducting state with coherent dynamics of charge transfer occurs at enough small values of t_c , and the transition temperature $T_c < T_{c0}$ is defined by the inequalities which at first were received for layer systems in papers E.I.Kats [7] and L.N.Bulayevsky [8].

2. Strong AFM fluctuations in quasi-two dimensions HTS are prevented to 2D Heisenberg ordering in copper-oxygen planes, in spite of on essential anisotropy of exchange constants of in-plane and out-of-plane interactions. In CuO_2 planes long AFM order absences but spin waves with linear dispersion are existing in the dielectric regions with sizes

which are confined by the correlation length of the AFM fluctuations. We can suppose that at $T \sim T_{c0}$ the exchange of the holes by such spin excitations leads to quasi-particles pairing with mechanism which is discussed nearly 10 years [1-3], and to the generation in CuO_2 planes of superconducting regions with measures which are confined by the correlation length of the AFM fluctuations. The temperature T_{c0} defines by the mean value of exchange interactions in CuO_2 plane with taking to the account disrupted couplings of copper spins in the dielectric regions.

3. In temperature region, where $\rho_c(T)$ are sensible over the Mott limit, the transfer of charge along axis $\hat{c}$ can consider as a tunneling process of electron over nonconductor barrier $\rho_c \sim (N_0 t_c)^{-1}$, where N_0 is the density of states in CuO_2 planes. The interest to the studying of the temperature dependence t_c is due by the inefficiency of the attempts to account semiconductive character of dependence $\rho_c(T)$ near T_c by the decrease of state density N_0 [9-10]. With decreasing of the temperature to $T < T_{c0}$ the dependence $t_c(T) \simeq (\frac{\xi_c(T)}{\xi_{ab}(T)})^2$ is caused by 2D-superconductive fluctuational effects, namely by the distinction of temperature dependencies of coherent lengths $\xi_{ab}(T) = \xi_{ab0}(1 - T/T_{c0})^{-1/2}$ in CuO_2 plane and out-of-plane $\xi_c(T) = \xi_{c0}(T)$ [6].

At $T = T_c$ in quasi-2D HTS the coherent three dimension superconducting state the dependencies $\xi_{ab}(T)$ and $\xi_c(T)$ settles and the value t_c doesn't depend on the temperature, that is accorded with the London penetration depth measurements [11].

4. For quasi-2D HTS the temperature transition to superconducting state T_c with coherent dynamic of charge transport along axis $\hat{c}$ can be defined by inequalities for layer systems (see [7,8], and the equation (12) in review [12]):

$$(\ln t_c(T_c))^{-1/3} \geq T_{c0}/\varepsilon_F; \quad t(c) \leq T_{c0}/\varepsilon_F, \quad (1)$$

where ε_F the Fermi energy. As we can see from (1), the value T_c dependence from the values of three parameters: $t_c, T_{c0}, \varepsilon_F$. The values of t_c and T_{c0} can be found out at resistivity measurements $\rho_c(T)$ and permit to define the relation between T_c and ε_F . The comparison the results of the resistivity measurements and the solution of the inequalities (1) let us to qualify the pairing mechanism: or it is a mechanism described by generalized BCS theory (such as discussed in Refs.[1-3]), or it is a pairing mechanism that cannot be explained by the BCS theory (such as spin analog of the superconducting proximity effect [13]).

Thus, in proposed variant superconductivity in quasi-2D HTS assumes spin-fluctuational pairing mechanism described by the generalized BCS theory and occurs in two stages. At first at $T \sim T_{c0}$ strong superconducting fluctuations are settled in copper-oxygen planes, which leads to essential temperature dependence of the strength of interlayer coupling $t_c(T)$. The transition of the sample to the coherent superconducting state occurs at $T_c < T_{c0}$ at sufficiently small values of $t_c(T)$, which fulfil to the inequalities (1).

References

1. A.Millis, P.Montoux, and D.Pines, Phys.Rev. B 42, 167 (1990)
2. G.G.Sergeeva, Yu.P.Stepanovskii, and A.V.Chechkin Low Temp. Phys. 24, 771 (1998)
3. Yu.A.Izyumov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk, 169, 265 (1999)
4. T.Watanabe and A.Matsuda, Phys.Rev. B 54, 6881 (1996)
5. T.Watanabe, T.Fujii, and A.Matsuda, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 2113 (1997)
6. G.G.Sergeeva, $M^2S - HTSC - YI$ contributed paper
7. E.I.Kats, Zh.Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 56, 1675 (1965)
8. L.N.Bulayevsky, Usp. Fiz. Nauk, 116, 449 (1975)

9. Y.Zha, Phys.Rev. B 53, 8253 (1996)
10. L.B.Ioffe, A.I.Larkin, A.A.Varlamov, and L.Yu. Phys.Rev. B 47, 8936 (1993)
11. S.Ushida, K.Tamasaki, and S.Tajima. Phys.Rev. B 53, 14558 (1996)
12. G.G.Sergeeva. Fiz. Nizk. Temp. 18, 797 (1992)
13. V.J.Emery, S.A.Kivelson, and O.Zachar. Phys.Rev. B 56, 6120 (1997)